United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Gary Ralph Goodrich			Case Number: 1:06 Cr 41	
facts re		accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case	, a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following	
	(1)	offense state or local offense that would have been jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal (a)(4).	
		 A felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. 		
x		Alternate Findings (A) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committee an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
X	(1) (2)	Alternate Fig. There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger.	ar.	
		Part II – Written Statement	of Reasons for Detention	
	I fin	nd that the credible testimony and information submitted	at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
a s wai Det me	earch rrant v fenda tham	warrant at his home in November 2004 and found evide was executed in December 2004, and officers again foun nt was charged in state court and released on bond. Wh	He has an admitted addiction to methamphetamine. Police executed nce of a substantial meth manufacturing operation. A second search d a meth lab, this time secreted under the floor of a pole barn. en federal agents arrested defendant in March 2006, they again found Defendant's manufacture of methamphetamine is a danger to the the bringing of criminal charges.	
appeal the Uni defend	ions f . The ited S ant to	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons a defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for tates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the the United States marshal for the purpose of an appear	General or his designated representative for confinement in a awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending or private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
			ture of Judge	
		_	ph G. Scoville. United States Magistrate Judge	

Name and Title of Judge